Department of Planning Received 1 3 FEB 2014

Scanning Room

H RYMILL PL BUNDEENA 2230' 12-2-14

ハナン

SUTHERLAND L.E.P. REVIEW P.O. BOX 39 SYDNEY 2001

ATTN: MARIAN PATE

DEAR SIR/MS.

THE INTEGRITY OF THE PLAN MAKING PROCESS MUST BE QUESTIONED IN SEVERAL WAYS.

OF COURSE, THE ABUSE OF THE MAYORAL MINUTE BY MAYOR KENT JOHNS IS THE PRIME CONCERN. THE REMOVAL OF THE DRAFT L.E.P HARD COPY FROM SUTHERLAND LIBRARIES IS ANOTHER. IN THE BUNDEENA LIBRARY REMOVAL WAS IN EARLY JANUARY, A MONTH BEFORE THE COMMENT DATE FINALISATION DN 14.2.14.

ADDITIONALLY, THE NEWLY IMPLEMENTED FORMAT OF THE HARD' COPY OF THE L.E.P. DOCUMENT WAS DIFFICULT TO READ, PARTICULARLY FOR MAPS, OF MAPS OF BUNDEENA/MAIANBAR PARTICULARLY WERE FRAGMENTED & LOCATED ALONG THE SPINE OF THE DOCUMENT. PREVIOUS L.E.P DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN MUCH BETTER PRESENTED FOR EASE OF MAP APPRAISAL.

ON ONE PARTICULAR ISSUE OF REZONING IN BUNDEENA THE STANDARD OF PREPARATION & AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC INFORMATION MUST BE QUESTIONED (PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT FOR ZONES I & Z REVIEW). FIRSTLY, THIS EXISTING ZONE I AREA & THE PROPOSAL TO E4 ZONING HAS A NUMBER OF FLAWS & INCONSISTENCIES.

THE 'LOCATION' AREA IS MISDESCRIBED WHEN COMPARED TO THE ZONING MARS & INFORMATION GIVEN BY COUNCIL PLANNING STAFF. THE 'REASONS' FOR REZONING ARE SPURIOUS & SUBJECTIVE. MANY AREAS OF BUNDEENA/MAIANBAR ARE NOT IN A VISUAL CATCHMENT BUT HAVE NOT BEEN REZONED, MANY AREAS ARE EQUALLY AS SUBURBAN' BUT HAVE NOT BEEN REZONED. A CONVENIENT RIDGELINE IS NOT A SUFFICIENT REASON FOR REZONING.

SEVERAL RESIDENTS CONTACTED COUNCIL ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR REASON FOR REZONING. MOST WERE GIVEN THE REASON OF BUSHFIRE RISK', AS THE PERSON(S) WITH EXPERTISE (AT COUNCIL) WERE NOT AVAILABLE FOR INFORMATION, I APPLIED FOR INFORMATION UNDER F.O.I FOR ALL INFORMATION ON THE REASONS FOR REZONING, PARTICULARLY BUSHFIRE CONCERNS. THIS REQUEST TOOK TWO MONTHS TO PROCESS. SURELY THIS IS UNACCEPTABLE BOTH IN REGARD TO F.O.I. RECQUIREMENTS & COMMUNITY EXPECTATIONS OF A PLAN 5 YEARS IN DEVELOPHEN

IF BUSHFIRE RISK IS TO BE CONFIRMED AS THE REASON FOR REZONING, R.F.S CONCERNS (LETTER 8/4/13 ATTACHED) SHOULD BE ADDRESSED & CONCERNS RE WATER SUPPLY WITH CURRENT. AREAS PROPOSED AS ET HAVE NOT BEEN EXPLAINED. DOES THIS AREA HAVE BETTER WATER SUPPLY THAN ELSEWHERE IN BUNDEENA & MAIANBAR?

Ph: 95238568 HELEN VOET YOURS FAITHFULLY

OUR PROXIMITY TO THE ROYAL WATIONAL PARK. LANDSCAPING IS NOT SUFFICIENT AT 35% & SHOUND BE AN AVERAGE OF AROUND 50% IN KEEPING WITH SIDEMLE BE ALLOWED IN BUNDERNA MAIANBAR. BETTER USE OF HULSING STOCKS, BUAL OCCUPANCIES FINALLY, IN KEEPING WITH STATE GOVERNMENT POLICY FOR

AREAS. EY ZONING UNNECESSARY FOR EXISTING RESIDENTIAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS WOULD MAKE THE PROPOSED STANDARDS, PROXIMITY TO BEACHES & IMPROVED ALL OF BUNDEENA MAIANBAR. BETTER BUSHFIRE' BUILDING BEEN LOW (AS HAS LOSS OF LIFE) IN RECENT TIMES IN COMPLETED. HISTORICALLY BUSHFIRE PROPERTY LOSS HAS ABDITIONALLY BUSHFIRE RISK WILL BE LESS OF A CONCERN. AS TWO STATE OF THE ART' BUSHFIRE STATIONS ARE ALMOST

BE UNLIKELY TO BENEFIT. AS COUNCIL HAS STATED THERE HAS BEEN NO MONEY IN THE WHOLE OF THE L'E.P, ROADS IN THIS AREA WOULD BE CININGE OF THE L'E.P, ROADS IN THIS AREA WOULD REPAIR, EXCEPT FOR POTHOLE PATCHING.

HAS BEEN DONE BY COUNCIL SINCE THEN IN THE WAY OF WERE MOSTLY PAID FOR BY PURCHASERS IN THE 1960'S & WITLE ROADS IN THE CURRENT AREA OF PROPOSED REZONING ARE NOT UP TO AN ACCEPTABLE STANDARD. THESE ROADS ROADS ARE MENTIONED ALSO BY RES.

MYCRMS 772294545

All communications to be addressed to:

Headquarters NSW Rural Fire Service Locked Mail Bag 17 **GRANVILLE NSW 2142**

Telephone: 1300 679 737 e-mail: csc@rfs.nsw.gov.au Headquarters NSW Rural Fire Service 15 Carter Street HOMEBUSH BAY NSW 2127

Facsimile: (02) 8867 7983



The General Manager Sutherland Shire Council Locked Bag 17 SUTHERLAND NSW 1499

RECEIVED 19 SEP 280

LP/03/79340 Your Ref: L13/0005 Our Ref: DA13032086875 WS

Attention: Environmental Planning Unit

13 September 2013

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Instrument for Exhibition of Planning Proposal for Sutherland Shire Standard Instrument LEP 2013

I refer to your letter dated 19 August 2013 seeking advice for the above Planning Instrument in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.

The Service has reviewed the plans and documents received for the proposal and subsequently raise no concerns in relation to bush fire.

For any enquiries regarding this correspondence please contact Wayne Sketchley.

Yours faithfully.

Michelle Streater

A/ Team Leader, Development Assessment & Planning

The RFS has made getting additional information easier. For general information on Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2006, visit the RFS web page at www.rfs.nsw.gov.au and search under Planning for Bush Fire Protection 2006.

All communications to be addressed to:

Headquarters 15 Carter Street Lidcombe NSW 2141

Headquarters Locked Bag 17 Granville NSW 2142

Telephone: 1300 NSW RFS Email: csc@rfs.nsw.gov.au

The General Manager Sutherland Shire Council Locked Bag 17 SUTHERLAND NSW 1499

Your Ref: LP/06/896819 Our Ref: L13/0005

Attention: Environmental Planning Unit

8 April 2013

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Instrument for Exhibition Of Planning Proposal For Sutherland Shire Standard Instrument LEP 2013

I refer to your letter dated 18 January 2013 seeking advice for the above Planning Instrument.

The NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) notes parts of Sutherland Council fall within bush fire prone areas as identified on the Sutherland Bush Fire Prone Land Map. As such future development will be subject to the requirements of Section 79BA of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and Section 100B of the Rural Fires Act 1997.

With this in mind Council should note that the introduction of Amendment II of the Australian Standard AS 3959-2009 Construction of buildings in bushfire-prone areas on 1 May 2011 now includes grasslands as a hazardous vegetation category. Any future development will need to consider this at the design and construction stage.

The RFS has also noted that Council will be proposing a zone called E4 Environmental Living. Within this zone, consideration should be given to ensuring appropriate access is available to the lots. Where an increase in density or a special fire protection purpose development could be proposed, roads should provide a satisfactory level of service for evacuation of occupants in the event of an emergency.

Also adequate water supply will be needed to supply the development or area to ensure water can be gained by fire services.

For any enquiries regarding this correspondence please contact Matthew Apps on 1300 NSW RFS.

Yours sincerely

Wayne Sketchley

Acting Team Leader, Development Assessment and Planning

PTO. FOR 13/9/2013 Document Profile

Security Revision History

Title:

2010 04 27 Zone 1 and 2 Review Table

Created By:

Document Number: 27042010-A96M-IX1N Jazmin Van Veen/SSC

Date Created:

27/04/2010 04:40:05 PM

File name:

2010 04 27 Zone 1 and 2 Review Table.xls

Version:

14.0

Description:

Document Type: Document State:

Documents Released

Archive Status:

Retrieved

Author:

Jazmin Van Veen

Format: FINALISED:

No

APPLICATION LINK

File No: 1775

PROPERTY LINK

Location Street Address:

Council Site Name

Council Asset Description

Council Asset Address

CRMS LINK

Customer Street Name:

EVIEW LINK

EView Layer:

EView ID:

MISCELLANEOUS

INFO

Related Files:

Precis:

Document Appraisal:

Not Due for Destruction

Review of Zones 1 and 2				
Suburb	Location	Existing Zone	Proposed Zone	Reason
Bundeena	Area bound by Scarborough St South to National Park and back property line of Rymil PI addresses, along laneway and back of Bournemouth St properties	Zone 1	E4	Not in visual catchment, character more suburban, ridgeline provides boundary
Maianbar	All other areas of Bundeena	Zone 1 Zone 1	E3 E3	Visual catchment, Threatened species Visual catchment